(1) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1292 OF 2006 Single Bench

PETITIONER : Vinod Kumar Sahu, aged about 54 years, Son of Late Shri Dukhiram Sahu, Resident of Village and Post Tanoud, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1350/06
Presented by Shri S. B. Sahu
dated 9/3/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Director, Public Instructions, Raipur (C.G.).

3. The Collector, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

4. The District Education Officer, Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

5. The Block Education Officer, Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

6. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa (c.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
8/3/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2) (5)

7. Smt. Kamla Bai Sahu, Wife of Shri Samaroo Ram Sahu, Sarpanch Village Panchayat Khorsi, Janpad Panchayat Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

8. Nammuram Khunte, Head Master, Government Primary School Khorse, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND/OR A SUITABLE DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

(95)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1292 of 2006

Petitioner Vinod Kumar Sahu

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh and others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri P.S. Sahu, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 5/State.

None for the respondents No. 6 to 8.

ORAL ORDER

(25th March, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as Assistant Teacher and posted at the Government Primary School, Khorsi, District Janjgir-Champa,

2. According to the petitioner, the attempts have been made to assault the petitioner and as such the petitioner filed the representations to the respondents No. 4/District Education Officer on 7.11.2005 (Annexure P/8) and 1.2.2006 (Annexure P/11). The respondents No. 4 has not considered the above stated representations of the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this petition, praying that the respondent authorities be directed to consider the representations of the petitioner for his attachment/transfer to some other school from the Government Primary School, Khorsi, to which learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 5 have no objection.

4. Accordingly, the respondent authorities are directed to consider and decide the representations of the petitioner dated 7.11.2005 (Annexure P/8) and 1.2.2006 (Annexure P/11), in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

5. The petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh representation, if so advised, within a period of one week, the same may also be considered within a period of four weeks from the receipt of the said representation.

6. In view of the foregoing, the writ petition is allowed to the above extent. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge